



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/698,717	10/31/2003	Krzysztof Nauka	200310817-1	1558	
22879	7590 08/18/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER	
	PACKARD COMPAN	PHAM, VAN T			
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION FORT COLLINS, CO 80527-2400			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2627		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/698,717	NAUKA ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
VAN T. PHAM	2627

	VAN I. PHAM	2627	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	lress
THE REPLY FILED 11 August 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FO	OR ALLOWANCE.	
1. A The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on	the same day as filing a Notice	of Appeal. To avoid abo	andonment of
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	in compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)
ra) The period for reply expires 9 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is	ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma	iling date of the final reject	ion.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amou hortened statutory period for reply of than three months after the mailing	ant of the fee. The appropr originally set in the final Off date of the final rejection,	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as even if timely filed,
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of ne appeal. Since
AMENDMENTS			
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection, leading the proposed amendment (s) filed after a final rejection (s) filed after a f	nsideration and/or search (see I		ecause
 (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or 	**	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	<u> </u>		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be al non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	•		•
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> 	t does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pape	er No(s)	<i>?</i>
	\		
		YOUNG	
	SUPERVISORY F	ATENT EXAMINER	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amended claims 7,9-12, 25-26, 28-29 are written in independent form to include their parent claims which could not be allowed over cited references when those claims were rejected under 102 (b) and/or 103(a) in the Final Rejection (noted that Applicant's representative did not provide any argument).

Those claims 7, 9-12, 25-26 and 28-29 are entered.

WAY NE YOUNG

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER